



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,414	07/13/2006	Michael Braun	2000P16272WOUS	2277
7590 10/23/2008				
Siemens Corporation Intellectual Property Department 170 Wood Avenue South Iselin, NJ 08830				
EXAMINER				
STEVENS, THOMAS H				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2121				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/23/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/500,414

Applicant(s)

BRAUN ET AL.

Examiner

THOMAS H. STEVENS

Art Unit

2121

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 August 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 9-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 9-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 24 June 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-8508)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 9-28 were examined.

Section I: Non-Final Rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 9-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
4. Claim 12 recites the limitation "the storage" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
5. Claim 15 recites the limitation "the access module" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 9-14,17, and 23-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Call et al (US Patent 5,892,939; hereafter Call). Call discloses a native environment for a visual display object (abstract).

Claim 9. An automated ("automated plant control systems", column 1, line 36) method for generating program modules ("plurality of modules", column 1, line 44) for controlling field devices (column 7, line 26), from a machine-readable parameterized (column 26, lines 24-26, "...commands have as a parameter the "target" device")description of field devices (column 7, line 26), wherein the description is used by a control unit (e.g., "units of the modules of plant control network", column 8, lines 24-25)for controlling the field devices (column 7, line 26), the method comprising: providing control of in each of the field devices (column 7, line 26), wherein the control equipment comprises at least one microprocessor (column 53, line 27), at least one electronic storage mechanism (column 56, lines 64-65) and data input (column 14, line 23)and output (column 14, line 23) mechanisms for communicating ("...bidirectional communication data...", column 11, lines 15-18)with the control unit; wherein the field device (column 7, line 26)identifies parameters (column 26, lines 24-26, "...commands have as a parameter the "target" device")of the field device(column 7, line 26) in the description identifies characteristics of the parameters(column 26, lines 24-26, "...commands have as a parameter the "target" device") relevant for control purpose, and generates program modules ("plurality of modules", column 1, line 44) for the

control equipment of the field device, (column 7, line 26) which can be executed by the field device (column 7, line 26) microprocessor (column 53, line 27) and which are based, at least partially, on the identified parameters (column 26, lines 24-26, "...commands have as a parameter the "target" device")and/or the characteristics of the parameters (column 26, lines 24-26, "...commands have as a parameter the "target" device")which have been identified as relevant for control purposes.

Claim 10. (previously presented) A method in accordance with Claim 9, wherein the control equipment comprises at least one electronic storage data input (column 14, line 23)and output (column 14, line 23) means for communications with the control unit.

Claim 11. A method in accordance with Claim 9, wherein the identifying characteristics of the parameters (column 26, lines 24-26, "...commands have as a parameter the "target" device")relevant for control purposes step comprises parameters (column 26, lines 24-26, "...commands have as a parameter the "target" device")a data type, size, and allowed values or allowed value range.

Claim 12. A method in accordance with Claim 9, wherein for at least one parameter a declaration module is generated in the field device, (column 7, line 26)which reserves for the parameter (column 26, lines 24-26, "...commands have as a parameter the "target" device")certain segments of the storage-means mechanism, and mechanism and defines a data type wherein the storage segment is reserved, and the data type and the size correspond to the identified characteristics of the parameter.

Claim 13. (currently amended) A method in accordance with Claim 12, wherein for at least one parameter an access module is generated in the field device, (column 7, line 26) which regulates accesses by the control equipment to the storage segment defined for the parameter in the declaration module.

Claim 14. A method in accordance with Claim 13, wherein for at least one parameter(column 26, lines 24-26, "...commands have as a parameter the "target" device") a cross-referencing module is generated in the field device, (column 7, line 26)which instructs the control equipment to execute other program modules ("plurality of modules", column 1, line 44) when there is an access to the parameter(column 26, lines 24-26, "...commands have as a parameter the "target" device").

Claim 17. A method in accordance with Claim 9, wherein for at least one parameter a naming module is also generated in the field device, (column 7, line 26)which stores on the storage mechanism a name for the parameter, (column 26, lines 24-26, "...commands have as a parameter the "target" device") and makes it possible to access the parameter (column 26, lines 24-26, "...commands have as a parameter the "target" device")under this name.

Claim 23. A method in accordance with Claim 12, wherein for at least one parameter a naming module is also generated in the field device, (column 7, line 26) which stores on the storage mechanism a name for the parameter, and makes it

possible to access the parameter(column 26, lines 24-26, "...commands have as a parameter the "target" device") under this name.

Claim 24. A method in accordance with Claim I3, wherein for at least one parameter a naming module is also generated in the field device, (column 7, line 26)which stores on the storage mechanism a name for the parameter, (column 26, lines 24-26, "...commands have as a parameter the "target" device") and makes it possible to access the parameter under this name.

Claim 25. An automated ("automated plant control systems", column 1, line 36) method for generating, from a machine-readable description of field devices (column 7, line 26), program modules ("plurality of modules", column 1, line 44) for controlling field devices (column 7, line 26), which are used on a control unit (e.g., "units of the modules of plant control network", column 8, lines 24-25)for the purpose of controlling the field devices (column 7, line 26), where each of the field devices (column 7, line 26) incorporates control equipment with a microprocessor (column 53, line 27), with a storage mechanism and with data input (column 14, line 23)and output (column 14, line 23) mechanisms for communicating ("...bidirectional communication data...", column 11, lines 15-18)with the control unit, the method comprising: each field device(column 7, line 26) identifying parameters (column 26, lines 24-26, "...commands have as a parameter the "target" device")of the field device(column 7, line 26) comprised in the description; for each of the parameters, (column 26, lines 24-26, "...commands have as

a parameter the "target" device") the field device (column 7, line 26) identifying the characteristics relevant for control purposes; and the field device (column 7, line 26) generating program modules ("plurality of modules", column 1, line 44) for the control equipment of the field device, (column 7, line 26) to be executed by the field device's (column 7, line 26) microprocessor (column 53, line 27) and which are based, at least partially, on the identified parameters (column 26, lines 24-26, "...commands have as a parameter the "target" device") and/or the characteristics of the parameters (column 26, lines 24-26, "...commands have as a parameter the "target" device") which have been identified as relevant for control purposes.

Claim 26. A method in accordance with Claim 25, further comprising: generating for at least one parameter (column 26, lines 24-26, "...commands have as a parameter the "target" device") a declaration module in the field device, (column 7, line 26) which reserves for the parameter segments of the storage mechanism and/or defines a data type and/or size of the parameter, (column 26, lines 24-26, "...commands have as a parameter the "target" device") wherein the storage segment is reserved, and the data type and/or the size correspond to the identified characteristics of the parameter (column 26, lines 24-26, "...commands have as a parameter the "target" device").

Claim 27. A device for generating control modules ("plurality of modules", column 1, line 44) for field devices (column 7, line 26), from a machine-readable parameterized (column 26, lines 24-26, "...commands have as a parameter the "target"

device")description of the field devices (column 7, line 26), for use on control units for remote control of field devices (column 7, line 26), wherein each of the field devices (column 7, line 26) has control equipment with at least one microprocessor (column 53, line 27), with at least one electronic storage-means mechanism and with data input (column 14, line 23)and output (column 14, line 23) mechanisms for communicating ("...bidirectional communication data...", column 11, lines 15-18)with the control units, the device comprising: input (column 14, line 23)equipment for reading in and storing the description into a field device; a first facility in the field device for identifying the parameters (column 26, lines 24-26, "...commands have as a parameter the "target" device")of the field device (column 7, line 26)being in the description; a second facility in the field device (column 7, line 26)for identifying the characteristics of the parameters defined in the description as relevant for control purposes; and a generation mechanism in the field device(column 7, line 26) which, for at least one of the parameters(column 26, lines 24-26, "...commands have as a parameter the "target" device") identified in the first analysis facility mechanism, generates at least one program module, which can be executed on the field device's (column 7, line 26)microprocessor (column 53, line 27).

Claim 28. A device in accordance with Claim 27, wherein the generation mechanism generates: a declaration module in the field device (column 7, line 26) which, for the parameter concerned, defines certain segments of the mechanism, and a data type, (column 14, line 23) a set of allowed values or an allowed value range for the segment, and an access module in the field device (column 7, line 26) which, for the parameter

concerned, controls accesses by the control equipment to the storage segment defined in the declaration module, and which instructs the control equipment to execute other modules when program it accesses the parameter(column 26, lines 24-26, "...commands have as a parameter the "target" device").

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

10. Claims 15,16,18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carr in view of Blevins et al., (US Patent 6,445,963; hereafter Blevins). Claims 15-22 teaches the limitations as set forth above accept the limitation of a control unit does not lie within the set of allowed values or lies outside the permissible range, as

applicable to which Blevins teaches

Per claims 15,16,18-22 Blevins teaches

- checks whether the new parameter value lies within the set of allowed values or within the allowed range, as applicable (section discusses the parameter limits via the module control loop, column 9, lines 10-48, in particular lines 25-40)

At the time of invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Carr by way of Blevins because Blevins teaches a method to generate a process model and without having to reprogram a control routine to implement model predictive or other advanced control. As a result, this method saves time, costs and provides use of the created process model for other purposes, such as for simulation and the production of virtual process outputs within the process control environment.

Section II: Response to Arguments

Drawings/Specification/Claim Objections

11. Objections are withdrawn.

1121st

12. Withdrawn.

1122nd

13. The Office corrected the location of the antecedent basis issues that remain outstanding as set forth above.

102(b)

14. Rejection by Nixon is withdrawn in place of Call as set forth above.

103(a)

15. Rejection by Blevins is maintained in conjunction with Call as set forth above.

Conclusion

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mr. Tom Stevens whose telephone number is 571-272-3715.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, please contact examiner's supervisor Mr. Albert Decady (571-272-3819). The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>.. Answers to questions regarding access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) (toll-free (866-217-9197)).

/Albert Decady /
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Tech Center 2100

/Thomas H. Stevens/
Examiner, Art Unit 2121